

Section I Description Of Project.
<p>DATE: MARCH 20, 2015 CASE#: MS_2011-0003 DATE FILED: 6/16/2011 OWNER: BETTY S. DELANEY TRUSTEE APPLICANT: BETTY DELANEY AGENT: JIM RONCO REQUEST: Minor Subdivision of a 30± acre parcel into two (2) parcels of 17.20± and 13.2± acres. LOCATION: Approximately 4± miles east of Old Hopland, lying on both sides of State Highway 175; 6201 Highway 175; APN 50-090-07 and 50-090-08. PROJECT COORDINATOR: JOHN SPEKA</p>
Section II Environmental Checklist.
<p><i>“Significant effect on the environment” means a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the project, including land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and aesthetic significance. An economic or social change by itself shall not be considered a significant effect on the environment. A social or economic change related to a physical change, may be considered in determining whether the physical change is significant (CEQA Guidelines, Section 15382).</i></p> <p><i>Accompanying this form is a list of discussion statements for <u>all</u> questions, or categories of questions, on the Environmental Checklist (See Section III). This includes explanations of “no” responses.</i></p>

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agriculture and Forestry Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Geology /Soils
<input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions	<input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology / Water Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Land Use / Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Noise
<input type="checkbox"/> Population / Housing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Recreation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic	<input type="checkbox"/> Utilities / Service Systems	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance

An explanation for all checklist responses is included, and all answers take into account the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site; cumulative as well as project-level; indirect as well as direct; and construction as well as operational impacts. The explanation of each issue identifies (a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and (b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance. In the checklist the following definitions are used:

"Potentially Significant Impact" means there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant.

"Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" means the incorporation of one or more mitigation measures can reduce the effect from potentially significant to a less than significant level.

"Less Than Significant Impact" means that the effect is less than significant and no mitigation is necessary to reduce the impact to a lesser level.

“No Impact” means that the effect does not apply to the Project, or clearly will not impact nor be impacted by the Project.

INITIAL STUDY/ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: This section assesses the potential environmental impacts which may result from the project. Questions in the Initial Study Checklist are stated and answers are provided based on analysis undertaken.

<u>I. AESTHETICS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- a) *Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?* **No Impact:** The project site consists of approximately 30 acres that is physically bisected by switchbacks in State Highway 175. Home site or accessory development of the property will not have a significant impact on scenic vistas.
- b) *Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?* **No Impact:** The project site consists of approximately 30 acres that is physically bisected by switchbacks in State Highway 175. Home site or accessory development of the property will not have a significant impact on scenic resources.
- c) *Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?* **No Impact:** The project site consists of approximately 30 acres that is physically bisected by switchbacks in Highway 175. Home site or accessory development of the property will not have a significant impact on the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings.
- d) *Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?* **Less than Significant Impact:** Condition Number 1 is recommended requiring that all external lighting be shielded and down cast to prohibit light from being cast beyond the property boundaries.

<u>II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- a) *Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non- agricultural use?* **No Impact:** The subject property does not consist of prime, unique or important farmland, nor is it suited for extensive agricultural uses.
- b) *Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?* **Less Than Significant Impact:** The subject property is not under a Williamson Act contract nor is it suited for extensive agricultural uses. Properties to the south are within Williamson Act contracts. Staff recommends that any future residential development on the proposed parcels be setback a minimum of 200 feet from neighboring properties that are in rangeland zoning districts or are within Williamson Act contracts. See Condition Number 2.
- c) *Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?* **No Impact:** The subject property is not adjacent to nor would it impact forest land.
- d) *Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?* **No Impact:** The subject property is not adjacent to nor would it impact forest land.
- e) *Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?* **Less Than Significant Impact:** Property located to the south of proposed Parcel 2 lies within an Agricultural Preserve. Beyond an existing residence, the project would potentially allow for an additional single family residence to be built on Parcel 2, although there is no intention at present to develop a second residence. No significant impacts to the neighboring grazing lands would result from the project as a whole.

III. AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of any applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) *Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?* **No Impact:** The Mendocino County Air Quality Management District (AQMD) is responsible for enforcing the State and Federal Clean Air Acts as well as local air quality protection regulations. The project will not conflict with or obstruct implementation of any air quality plan.
- b) through d) **Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated:** The project site lies within an area likely to contain Naturally Occurring Asbestos (NOA) according to County maps. While referrals to the County Air Quality Management District (AQMD) were returned stating “no comment at this time,” standard requirements for an Asbestos Dust Mitigation Plan and/or Geologic Survey will apply in order to comply with local and State regulations (see Condition Number 3). No further mitigation required.
- e) *Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?* **No Impact:** Potential future residential development (e.g. grading, construction, etc.) will not create objectionable odors that would affect a substantial number of people.

<u>IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) *Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. **Less than Significant Impact:*** One of the two proposed parcels (Parcel 2) includes an existing residence, and approval of the subdivision has the potential to allow for three additional residential units (primary and potential secondary units on each parcel), accessory structures such as garages and outbuildings, and other improvements including the development of access roads and driveways. Given the proximity of the project site to Highway 175 and the amount of potential disturbance relative to the overall size of the proposed parcels, staff does not anticipate significant impacts to habitat in the area. No mitigation is required.
- b) *Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations and or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service? **No Impact:*** The property does not contain riparian habitat nor other natural communities identified in local or regional plans, policies or regulations. Comments were not received from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife.
- c) *Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? **No Impact:*** The property does not include any federally protected wetlands.
- d) *Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? **Less than Significant Impact:*** As noted above, given the proximity of the project site to Highway 175 and the overall size of the proposed parcels, staff does not anticipate significant impacts to migratory corridors in the area. No mitigation is required.
- e) *Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? **Less than Significant Impact:*** As noted, one of the two proposed parcels includes an existing residence, and approval of the subdivision has the potential to allow for three additional residential units (primary and potential secondary units on each parcel), accessory structures such as garages and outbuildings, and other improvements including the development of access roads and driveways. Given the proximity of the project site to Highway 175 and the amount of potential disturbance relative to the overall size of the proposed parcels, staff does not anticipate significant impacts to habitat in the area. The topography of the project site is such that development would likely be confined to the relatively level areas noted on the tentative map with the great majority of trees and other vegetation lying along less developable portions of the site. State oak woodlands regulation is mainly concerned with larger stands of oak canopy and potential improvements associated with this project would not result in any significant disturbance of the existing oak habitat.
- f) *Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? **No Impact:*** there are no adopted Habitat Conservation Plans, Natural Community Conservation Plans, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plans for the site of the proposed project.

<u>V. CULTURAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- b) *Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?* **Less Than Significant Impact:** Comments received from Sonoma State University’s California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) noted a “low possibility” of the project area containing cultural resources. Projects determined as such are not typically forwarded to the County Archaeological Commission as no survey is required. Staff will recommend that the “Discovery Clause” be invoked in the event that any such discoveries are made in the process of developing the property (see Condition Number 5).
- c) *Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?* **Less Than Significant Impact:** Comments received from Sonoma State University’s California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) noted a “low possibility” of the project area containing unrecorded archaeological sites. Projects determined as such are not typically forwarded to the County Archaeological Commission as no survey is required. Staff will recommend that the “Discovery Clause” be invoked in the event that any such discoveries are made in the process of developing the property (see Condition Number 5).
- d) *Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?* **No Impact:** The project would include the potential for only minor amounts of residential (and accessory) development. No impacts to paleontological resources or sites would result.
- e) *Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?* **Less Than Significant Impact:** The project would include the potential for only minor amounts of residential (and accessory) development. No impacts to human remains would result.

<u>VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iv) Landslides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) *Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. ii) Strong seismic ground shaking? iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? iv) Landslides? No Impact:* There are no known earthquake faults, as delineated on the most recent Earthquake Fault Zoning Maps in this area.
- b) *Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Less than Significant Impact:* One of the two proposed parcels includes an existing residence, and approval of the subdivision has the potential to allow for three additional residential units (primary and potential secondary units on each parcel), accessory structures such as garages and outbuildings, and other improvements including the development of access roads and driveways. Given the amount of potential disturbance relative to the overall size of the proposed parcels, staff does not anticipate any significant impacts from the project with respect to ground or soil resources aside from minor disruptions or displacement of the soil associated with future grading, road construction or residential development. Likewise, erosion of soils or other drainage pattern issues are not expected to be substantially impacted from development of the proposed parcels. Conditions Number 6 and 7 are recommended to ensure that Best Management Practices are employed during any future construction. As a result, erosion related impacts from the project are expected to be less than significant.
- c) *Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? No Impact:* Existing slopes are stable given the vegetated topography. No significant impacts would occur from future homesite or accessory related improvements.
- d) *Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property? No Impact:* The soil on the site is loam which are not considered to be an expansive soil. Any future structural development will be subject to current building code regulation.
- e) *Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? No Impact:* The County Division of Environmental Health has recommended Conditions Number 8 and 9 to ensure adequate soils for septic purposes.

<u>VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) *Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?* **Less Than Significant Impact:** Construction activities, including grading the site, will result in emissions by using electricity from the grid and using gasoline driven motors. Emissions as a result of construction will be short term and minimized by the use of modern construction equipment. Any diesel engines in excess of 50 horsepower are required to meet current emission standards and will require a permit from Air Quality Management District (AQMD).
- b) *Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?* **No Impact:** The County has not adopted any local plans for reducing the emission of greenhouse gasses.

<u>VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

emergency evacuation plan?				
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) *Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?* **No Impact:** No hazardous materials will be used while improving the access road or when developing the proposed parcels. Standard fuels will be used and standard protocols for the safe handling of fluids will be followed.
- b) *Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?* **No Impact:** Fuels would be used for standard residential purposes and will not pose a significant risk of release into the environment.
- c) *Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?* **No Impact:** No schools are located within a quarter mile of the project site.
- d) *Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?* **No Impact:** There are no hazardous materials sites or other cleanups on site listed in the EnviroStor database.
- e) *For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?* **No Impact:** This project is not located near a public use airport and will not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the area.
- f) *For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?* **No Impact:** There are no private airstrips in the vicinity of the project area.
- g) *Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?* **No Impact:** Residential uses of the project site would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with future emergency evacuation plans.
- h) *Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?* **Less than Significant Impact:** The subject parcel is located in a high fire hazard zone within the Sanel Fire District. Compliance with District conditions will mitigate impacts from wildland fires in the area of the proposed project. See Condition Number 10.

<u>IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
k) Result in an increase in pollutant discharges to receiving waters considering water quality parameters such as temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity and other typical stormwater pollutants (e.g. heavy metals, pathogens, petroleum derivatives, synthetic organics, sediment, nutrients, oxygen-demanding substances, and trash)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
l) Have a potentially significant impact on groundwater quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
m) Impact aquatic, wetland or riparian habitat?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a) through e) and k) **Less than Significant:** An existing residence on Parcel 2 is served by a private well and septic system. The County Division of Environmental Health (EH) has recommended standard site evaluation reports for on-site sewage disposal systems along with other standard evaluations for water sources. Conditions Number 11 through 13 are recommended to ensure that overall project impacts are held to less than significant levels with respect to water quality or quantity in the area.

*IX. Hydrology and Water Quality f) through j) as well as l) and m) **No Impact:*** The project will result in residential development with a maximum of three (3) additional residences. The County Division of Environmental Health will require that all future water supplies meet standard quality and quantity requirements. The property is neither subject to flooding nor inundation by seiche, tsunami or mudflow. The project is not within a dam inundation zone, and would not create an impoundment, reservoir, or levee that could threaten surrounding residences. No streams or other water courses or water bodies are nearby or would be impacted by the proposed construction that could increase the chance of high risk of mudflows over running the site. In addition, the grading and site alterations would not increase the chance of mudflows to downslope residences because no fill would be stockpiled or stored on site after construction is completed. The project site is not subject to tsunami inundation.

Development of the proposed parcels will not significantly alter the existing drainage pattern of the site. The project would not create polluted runoff at the site and there is no use or construction proposed on site that would substantially degrade water quality. No significant impacts to water quality are anticipated once the proposed parcels are developed.

<u>X. LAND USE AND PLANNING.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) *Physically divide an established community?* **No Impact:** The project will not result in any physical improvements or barriers that would divide an established community.
- b) *Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?* **No Impact:** The project does not conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulations of an agency with jurisdiction over the project.
- c) *Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?* **No Impact:** The project is not located within any habitat conservation or natural community conservation plan areas.

<u>XI. MINERAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) *Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?* **No Impact:** There are no known mineral resources on the site that would be of value to the region or the residents of the state. In addition future development would not preclude future extraction efforts on the site.
- b) *Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?* **No Impact:** The project site does not include a mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan.

XII. NOISE. Would the project result in:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) *Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance or applicable standards of other agencies?* **Less than Significant Impact:** Although an increase in noise levels will most likely result from any grading and housing construction phases of the development, overall, the project would not cause significant impacts beyond the minor inconvenience endured during this period. No mitigation is required.
- b) *Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?* **No Impact:** There are no activities associated with the project that would general excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels.
- c) *A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?* **No Impact:** The project will not result in any permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity.
- d) *A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?* **Less than Significant Impact:** Although an increase in noise levels will most likely result from any grading and housing construction phases of the development, overall, the project would not cause significant impacts beyond the minor inconvenience endured during this period. No mitigation is required.
- e) *For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?* **No Impact:** There are no airports located within 2 miles of the project site.
- f) *For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?* **No Impact:** There are no private airstrips within the vicinity of the project site.

<u>XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a) *Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?* **Less Than Significant Impact:** The County Inclusionary Housing Ordinance was adopted to meet the housing demand for all economic levels of society, to fulfill policies of the State of California as well as to better serve the County itself. County Code Section 20.238.010 states that the ordinance shall apply at the “subdivision level” for projects entailing residential development of two or more units. It also states that second residences are exempt from the requirements.

As only one of the proposed parcels is currently vacant (no single family residences), the subdivision will not result in the eventual development of “two or more units.” Therefore, standard “in lieu” fees would not apply to the project.

XIII Population and Housing b) and c) **No Impact:** The project would allow for an insignificant increase of residential development, incurring no displacement as a result.

<u>XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES.</u>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fire protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Police protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Medical Services?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other public facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a) *Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection, police protection, schools, parks, other public facilities?* **Less than Significant Impact or No Impact:** The property

is designated as an area of High Fire Hazard, located within a responsibility area of the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (Cal-Fire) and also within the response area of the Sanel Valley Fire District. Comments were received from Cal-Fire (CDF# 127-11, dated June 12, 2011) recommending standard road width and defensible space conditions. Also recommended was a requirement for a minimum emergency water supply of 2,000 gallons. Condition Number 10 is recommended to require adherence to standards of both service providers which are expected to mitigate impacts relating to emergency services. Other types of public services are not expected to be significantly affected by the project.

<u>XV. RECREATION.</u>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

XV Recreation a) and b) **No Impact:** No impacts to existing recreational facilities/parks and no development of new facilities/parks is required. No mitigation required.

<u>XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Generate substantial additional vehicular movement?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Effect existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially impact existing transportation systems?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Alter present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Increase traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- a) *Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?* **Less than Significant Impact:** The property is bisected by State Highway 175, effectively dividing the parcels by the highway right-of-way as shown on the proposed Parcel Map into parcels of 17.2 and 13.2 acres, respectively. Comments received from the County Department of Transportation (DOT) recommended that a private driveway approach for proposed Parcel 1 be in accordance with encroachment procedures of the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). Verbal correspondence with Caltrans representatives has confirmed that an encroachment permit will need to be finalized upon completion of the project. Conditions Number 14 through 16 are recommended to address standard requirements of the County DOT.
- b) *Effect existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?* **No Impact:** Adequate parking is available on-site to accommodate residential development of the project area.
- c) *Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?* **Less than Significant Impact:** Additional traffic generated from the project would not be significant.

- d) *Alter present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?* **No Impact:** No present patterns of circulation will be impacted by the project.
- e) *Result in inadequate emergency access?* **No Impact:** Adequate emergency access exists for each of the newly created parcels.
- f) *Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians.* **Less than Significant Impact:** Additional traffic generated from the project would not be significant.

<u>XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a) and d) An existing residence on Parcel 2 is served by a private well and septic system. The County Division of Environmental Health (EH) has recommended standard site evaluation reports for on-site sewage disposal systems along with other standard evaluations for water sources. Conditions Number 8, 9 and 11 through 13 are recommended to ensure that overall project impacts are held to less than significant levels with respect to water quality or quantity in the area.

b) and c) and e) through g) **No Impact:** The project will not require new facilities to be developed or have substantial impacts on existing services or facilities.

<u>XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.</u>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

XVIII Mandatory Findings of Significance a) through c) Less than Significant or No Impact: Impacts from the project will not be significant on an individual or cumulative level.

DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

DATE

JOHN SPEKA